

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/809,207	03/25/2004	John A. Muth	5760-19800/VRTS0608	6546	
35690 75	590 11/13/2006	,	EXAMINER		
MEYERTON 700 LAVACA,	S, HOOD, KIVLIN, KO	PANNALA, SATHYANARAYA R			
AUSTIN, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2164		
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/809,2	207	MUTH ET AL.	
		Examine	er	Art Unit	
		Sathyana	arayan Pannala	2164	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic			he correspondence a	ddress
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply wereply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF T f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nication. utory period will apply and v ill, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICAT went, however, may a reply will expire SIX (6) MONTHS plication to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status					
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2t Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	o)⊠ This action is or allowance excep	non-final. t for formal matters		ne merits is
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat i	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any objected	e withdrawn from co on and/or election Examiner. a) accepted or b	requirement.)□ objected to by t		
11\□	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to The oath or declaration is objected to				
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	y trio Examinor. I	ioto trio attaorica o		10 102.
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority d 2. Certified copies of the priority d 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have be ocuments have be f the priority docum al Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Appl ents have been rec ile 17.2(a)).	ication No eeived in this Nationa	al Stage
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) sr No(s)/Mail Date 4/18/2006.	O-948)		mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/809,207 Page 2

Art Unit: 2164

DETAILED ACTION

1. Application No. 10/809207 filed on 3/25/2004 has been examined. In this Office Action, claims 1-16 are pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 8/18/2006 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

- 3. 35 U.S.C. § 101 reads as follows:
 - Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.
- 4. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101, because none of the claims are directed to statutory subject matter. Independent claims 1, 6, 11 and 16 merely claiming functional descriptive material, i.e., abstract ideas. Even when a claim that recites a computer that solely calculates a mathematical formula or a computer disk that solely stores a mathematical formula is not directed to the type of statutory subject matter eligible for patent protection. The claims are not producing useful, concrete and

tangible results. See Diehr, 450 U.S. at 186 and Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 71-72 (1972).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1,3-6, 8-11, 13-15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schmeidler et al. (US Patent 6,374,402) hereinafter Schmeidler.
- 7. As per independent claims 1, 6, 11, Schmeidler teaches a method, system as upon user selection of the title from a virtual storefront, the user negotiates for an actual purchase of the title. Negotiation includes user registration with a third party electronic commerce system (eCommerce), provision of user billing information, and selection of one of the purchase types offered with the selected title (col. 2, lines 37-42). Schmeidler teaches the claimed, in response to receiving a data access request, a metadata server, as to access contents on a RAFT server (Fig. 8, col. 22, lines 51-52). Schmeidler teaches the claimed, determining a maximum expiration time indicated by a next scheduled quiesce time, as the network file (RAFT) server verifies the Conditional

Access Server (CAS's) digital signature and token contents (Fig. 8, col. 22, lines 53-54). Schmeidler teaches the claimed, generating an access token, wherein the access token comprises an expiration time, as the launch string as digitally signed is provided to the client (Fig. 2, 4 col. 9, lines 32-34) and the RAFT returns the token and activator to the launcher 220 and the token comprises start time 806 and end time 808 (Fig. 8, col. 22, lines 61-62). Schmeidler teaches the claimed, generating an access token comprises setting the expiration time of the access token to be no later than the maximum expiration time (Fig 8, col. 22, lines 65-66).

- 8. As per dependent claims 3, 9, 14, Schmeidler teaches the claimed, the metadata server providing the access token to a client as source content delivery platform (SCDP) client 216 (Fig. 2A, col. 8, line 2).
- 9. As per dependent claims 4, 8,13, Schmeidler teaches the claimed, a storage device receiving a data I/O request associated with the access token, comparing a current system time with the access token's expiration time and denying the data I/O request if the current system time is later than the access token's expiration time, as the RAFT server will deny access if server's current time is not within the token time (Fig. 8, col. 23, lines 7-9).
- 10. As per dependent claim 5, 10, 15, Schmeidler teaches the claimed, the client is one of a plurality of clients, the access token is one of a plurality of access tokens, each of the access tokens is provided to a respective one of the plurality of clients and

Application/Control Number: 10/809,207 Page 5

Art Unit: 2164

wherein at the next scheduled quiesce time the plurality of access tokens are expired without the metadata server transmitting a message to each client to expire its respective access tokens as the authorization token is a signed message from the CAS indicating that the requesting user can have access to a specified briq, on a specific RAFT file server, for the length of time spelled out (Fig. 8, col. 3, lines 47-51).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 12. Claims 2, 7, 12, 16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmeidler et al. (US Patent 6,374,402) hereinafter Schmeidler, and in view of McBrearty et al. (USPA Pub 20040015585 A1) hereinafter McBrearty.
- 13. As per dependent claims 2, 7, 12, Schmeidler does not explicitly teach default expiration time. McBrearty teaches the claimed, determining a default expiration time if the default expiration time is earlier than the maximum expiration time, setting the expiration time of the access token to be the default expiration time, as the token has a limited lifetime, typically 24 hours before the token expires (page 1, paragraph [0004]. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the invention, to have combined the teachings of the cited references because McBrearty's teachings would have allowed Schmeidler's system and method for that allows for security tokens to be utilized which have more flexibility in a networked system (page 1, paragraph [0010]).
- 14. As per independent claim 16, Schmeidler teaches a method, system as upon user selection of the title from a virtual storefront, the user negotiates for an actual purchase of the title. Negotiation includes user registration with a third party electronic commerce system (eCommerce), provision of user billing information, and selection of one of the purchase types offered with the selected title (col. 2, lines 37-42). Schmeidler teaches the claimed, for setting the expiration time of an access token to the earlier of either a maximum expiration time indicated by a next scheduled quiesce time, receiving a data I/O request associated with the access token, as the network file

Art Unit: 2164

(RAFT) server verifies the Conditional Access Server (CAS's) digital signature and token contents (Fig. 8, col. 22, lines 53-54). McBrearty teaches the claimed, determining a default expiration time if the default expiration time is earlier than the maximum expiration time, setting the expiration time of the access token to be the default expiration time, as the token has a limited lifetime, typically 24 hours before the token expires (page 1, paragraph [0004]. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the invention, to have combined the teachings of the cited references because McBrearty's teachings would have allowed Schmeidler's system and method for that allows for security tokens to be utilized which have more flexibility in a networked system (page 1, paragraph [0010]). Schmeidler teaches the claimed, comparing a current system time with the access token's expiration time and denying the data I/O request if the current system time is later than the access token's expiration time, as the RAFT server will deny access if server's current time is not within the token time (Fig. 8, col. 23, lines 7-9).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sathyanarayan Pannala whose telephone number is (571) 272-4115. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

Art Unit: 2164

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Charles Rones can be reached on (571) 272-4085. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sathyanarayan Pannala Primary Examiner

srp November 6, 2006